

Tree Automata and Applications: TD4

Alternating Tree Automata and Hedge Automata

Emile Contal

<http://econtal.perso.math.cnrs.fr/teaching>

October 11, 2013

1 Alternating Tree Automaton

Definition 1. A (top-down) Alternating Tree Automaton (ATA) \mathcal{A} is given by $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{Q}_f, \delta)$ where $\delta : \mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q} \times \{1, \dots, n\})$ with $\delta(q, f) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q} \times \{1, \dots, \text{Arity}(f)\})$.

A computation of \mathcal{A} on a term $t \in T(\mathcal{F})$ in state q is a tree labelled by \mathcal{Q} such that the root is labelled by q and if $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and $\delta(q, f) = \phi$, then there exists a subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{Q} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that :

- $S \models \phi$,
- for all $(q_i, i) \in S$, there exists a child of the root ρ which is a computation on t_i in state q_i .

An accepting computation is a computation in a final state.

Definition 2. A bottom-up ATA has transition rules of the form $f(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \rightarrow q$ where $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q})$. A computation ρ on t in a bottom-up ATA verifies:

- the root of ρ is labelled by (q, m) ,
- if $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ there exists a rule $f(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \rightarrow q$ such that if ρ_1, \dots, ρ_m is the set of the children of the root of ρ and for all i , (q_i, m_i) is the label of the root of ρ_i , then:
 - for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, $\{q \mid \exists i. m_i = j, q = q_i\} \models \phi_j$,
 - for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, if $m_i = j$ then ρ_i is a computation of $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{F}, \{q_i\}, \delta)$ on t_j .

Example exercise. Consider the automaton on the alphabet $\{f(,), a, b\}$ with final state q_2 and transition relation:

Δ	f	a	b
q_2	$\left[((q_1, 1) \wedge (q_2, 2)) \vee ((q_1, 2) \wedge (q_2, 1)) \right] \wedge (q_4, 1)$	\top	\perp
q_1	$((q_2, 1) \wedge (q_2, 2)) \vee ((q_1, 2) \wedge (q_1, 1))$	\perp	\top
q_4	$((q_3, 1) \wedge (q_3, 2)) \vee ((q_4, 1) \wedge (q_4, 2))$	\top	\top
q_3	$((q_3, 1) \wedge (q_2, 2)) \vee ((q_4, 1) \wedge (q_1, 2)) \wedge (q_5, 1)$	\perp	\top
q_5	\perp	\top	\perp

Give a run of this automaton on the term $t = f(f(b, f(a, b)), b)$.

Exercise 1. Let \mathcal{A} be an ATA. Prove that we can compute in polynomial time an ATA \mathcal{A}^c such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}^c) = \overline{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})}$.

Give an example of bottom-up ATA \mathcal{A} such that every bottom-up ATA recognizing the complementary language of \mathcal{A} has an exponential size.

Exercise 2. Let \mathcal{A} be an ATA, show that there exists a deterministic (bottom-up) tree automaton with exponential size recognizing the language of \mathcal{A} .

2 Horn Logic and Alternating Automata

Let \mathcal{C}_A be the class of clauses in the form:

- $q_1(x_1), \dots, q_n(x_n) \rightarrow q(f(x_1, \dots, x_n))$, where all x_i are distinct,
- $q_1(x), q_2(x) \rightarrow q(x)$.

Let \mathcal{C}_1 the class of clauses in the form:

$$q_1(x_{i_1}), \dots, q_k(x_{i_k}) \rightarrow q(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) ,$$

where the $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ are not necessarily distinct.

Exercise 3. Let $C \in \mathcal{C}_1$ be a finite set of clauses, show that we can compute in polynomial time a bottom-up ATA \mathcal{A}_C such that the language recognizable by \mathcal{A}_C in state q is the interpretation of q in the smallest model of C .

Let \mathcal{A} be a bottom-up ATA, show that we can compute in polynomial time an ATA which recognizes the same language.

Let \mathcal{A} be a bottom-up ATA, show that we can compute in polynomial time a set of clauses $C_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{C}_A$ such that \mathcal{A} accepts t in state q iff t is the interpretation of q in the smallest model of $C_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Let \mathcal{A} be an ATA, show that we can compute in polynomial time a set of clauses in $C_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{C}_A$ for \mathcal{A} .

3 Two Way Alternating Automata

Definition 3. A Two Way Alternating Automaton \mathcal{A} is given by \mathcal{Q} a finite set of states, $\mathcal{Q}_f \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ a set of final states and δ a transition function which maps a pair (q, f) to a formula in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q} \times \{-1, 0, \dots, \text{Arity}(f)\})$.

A computation of \mathcal{A} on t is a tree ρ labelled by $\mathcal{Q} \times \text{Pos}(t)$ such that:

1. $\epsilon \in \text{Pos}(\rho)$ and $\rho(\epsilon) = (q, \epsilon)$,
2. if $w \in \text{Pos}(\rho)$ and $\rho(w) = (q, p)$ and $\delta(q, t(p)) = \phi$, then it exists $S = \{(q_1, d_1), \dots, (q_n, d_n)\}$ such that $S \models \phi$ and for all $(q_i, d_i) \in S$,
 - $w \cdot i \in \text{Pos}(\rho)$,
 - if $d_i > 0$, then $p \cdot d_i \in \text{Pos}(t)$ and $\rho(w \cdot i) = (q_i, p \cdot d_i)$,
 - if $d_i = 0$, then $\rho(w \cdot i) = (q_i, p)$,
 - if $d_i = -1$, then $\rho(w \cdot i) = (q_i, p')$ with $p = p' \cdot i$.

A computation is accepting if the root is labelled by a final state.

Example exercise. Consider the automaton on the alphabet $\{f(\cdot), a, b\}$ with final state q_2 and transition relation:

Δ	f	a	b
q_2	$\left[((q_1, 1) \wedge (q_2, 2)) \vee ((q_1, 2) \wedge (q_2, 1)) \right] \wedge (q_4, 1)$	\top	\perp
q_1	$((q_2, 1) \wedge (q_2, 2)) \vee ((q_1, 2) \wedge (q_1, 1))$	\perp	\top
q_4	$((q_3, 1) \wedge (q_3, 2)) \vee ((q_4, 1) \wedge (q_4, 2))$	\top	$(q_1, -1)$
q_3	$((q_3, 1) \wedge (q_2, 2)) \vee ((q_4, 1) \wedge (q_1, 2)) \wedge (q_5, 1)$	\perp	\top
q_5	\perp	$(q_1, -1)$	\perp

Give a run of this automaton on the term $t = f(f(b, f(a, b)), b)$.

Exercise 4. We want to show that the canonical correspondence between a Two Way Alternating Automaton \mathcal{A} and Horn clauses is not correct. To simplify, we consider that the alphabet has only unary symbols and that the transitions of \mathcal{A} are in the form $\delta(q, a) = (q', \pm 1)$ or $\delta(q, a) = \top$. Let $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the smallest set of clauses such that:

- if $\delta(q, a) = (q', 1)$, then $q'(x) \rightarrow q(a(x)) \in C_{\mathcal{A}}$,
- if $\delta(q, a) = (q', -1)$, then $q'(a(x)) \rightarrow q(x) \in C_{\mathcal{A}}$,
- if $\delta(q, a) = \top$, then $\rightarrow q(a) \in C_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Define \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \emptyset$ but the alphabet for $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ is not empty.

4 Hedge Automata

Definition 4. A nondeterministic finite hedge automaton (NFHA) over Σ is a tuple $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{Q}, \Sigma, \mathcal{Q}_f, \Delta)$ where \mathcal{Q} is a finite set of states, $\mathcal{Q}_f \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ is a set of final states, and Δ is a finite set of transition rules of the type $a(R) \rightarrow q$, where $R \subseteq \mathcal{Q}^*$ is a regular language over \mathcal{Q} . These languages R occurring in the transition rules are called horizontal languages.

A run of \mathcal{A} on a tree $t \in T(\Sigma)$ is a tree $r \in T(\mathcal{Q})$ with the same domain as t such that for each node $p \in \text{Pos}(r)$ with $a = t(p)$ and $q = r(p)$ there is a transition rule $a(R) \rightarrow q$ of \mathcal{A} with $r(p_1) \cdot r(pn) \in R$, where n denotes the number of successors of p . In particular, to apply a rule at a leaf, the empty word ϵ has to be in the horizontal language of the rule.

An unranked tree t is accepted by \mathcal{A} if there is a run r of \mathcal{A} on t whose root is labeled by a final state, i.e. with $r(\epsilon) \in \mathcal{Q}_f$. The language $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} is the set of all unranked trees accepted by \mathcal{A} .

Exercise 5. Let $\Sigma = \{or, and, not, 0, 1\}$. Define a NFHA \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of all trees that form correct Boolean expressions evaluating to true.

Exercise 6. Let $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$. Define a NFHA \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of all trees such that there exists two nodes labelled b whose greatest common ancestor is labeled c .